Filed 11/22/19 Page 1 of 3 湖1 1,Ct 1/15/2019 neopost TO HORMAND RETURN NO DUC Chi thi thi 一日本四日 Brooklyn, NY 112224 (D) (D) 2875 W. 8th Street Sadiq Tahir n) IXIN CLERK OF U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 10 10 to 225 CADMAN PLAZA EAST BROOKLYN, NY 11201 46 PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300 阿公路 石石 OFFICIAL BUSINESS 7507

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	37
MARIO H. CAPOGROSSO	A

Plaintiff,

ORDER 18 CV 2710 (MKB)(LB)

-against-

ALAN GELBSTEIN, in his official and individual capacity, IDA TRASCHEN, in her official and individual capacity, DANIELLE CALVO, in her official and individual capacity, SADIQ TAHIR, in his individual capacity, PEC GROUP OF NY, INC., and DAVID SMART,

Defendants. ----X BLOOM, United States Magistrate Judge:

The Court held the initial conference in plaintiff's civil rights case on November 14, 2019.¹ After discussing it on the record, plaintiff voluntarily withdrew his amended complaint.² ECF No. 74. Accordingly, the Clerk of Court shall terminate the state defendants' motion to dismiss. ECF No. 77. The state defendants' motion to stay discovery is denied. ECF No. 78. This case shall proceed on the original complaint, ECF No. 1, against defendants Gelbstein, Calvo, Traschen, Smart, Tahir, and PEC Group of NY as set forth in Judge Brodie's September 25, 2019 Memorandum & Order, ECF No. 71.

The Court set the following deadlines: the state defendants shall answer plaintiff's complaint, ECF No. 1, and plaintiff and the state defendants shall exchange initial disclosures by **December 4, 2019**.³

The parties shall complete all discovery by May 15, 2020. Discovery is the process by which the parties request information from each other regarding their claims or defenses.⁴

¹ Defendants Tahir and PEC Group of NY did not appear at the conference. The Court's Order scheduling the conference that was sent to Tahir was returned as undeliverable. ECF No. 80. As Tahir did not have notice of today's conference, the Court excused his appearance on the record.

² A transcript of the November 14, 2019 conference has been ordered and shall be made part of the record. The Clerk of Court is directed to amend the caption as set forth above.

³ Defendants Smart and Tahir have answered plaintiff's complaint. ECF Nos. 6–7.

⁴ The Clerk of Court is directed to mail defendant Smart a copy of the *pro se* manual.

Discovery is governed by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 26 through 37 and is

conducted between the parties without the Court's involvement. Each party bears its own costs of

conducting discovery. Pursuant to FRCP 33 and 34, the parties may request, in writing, answers

to questions and documents from the other parties or their attorneys if they are represented. The

more specific the request, the more likely the information will be produced. Generally, parties

must respond to discovery requests in writing within thirty days. Because May 15, 2020 is the

deadline for the completion of all discovery, requests to the opposing party must be served at least

thirty days before that deadline. The parties should always keep a copy of all requests and

responses they send; the parties should never send an original document. FRCP 5(d) prohibits

litigants from filing discovery materials in the Court.

The parties may conduct depositions upon oral examination pursuant to FRCP 30. An oral

deposition is a seven-hour question-and-answer session in which the person being deposed testifies

under oath or affirmation. The deposition in its entirety, both the questions and answers, are

transcribed by the court reporter and may be used in the litigation. If a party receives written notice

to appear for a deposition but is unable to appear at the date and time stated in the notice, that party

shall promptly contact the opposing party to request that the deposition be rescheduled for another

date. Any request to schedule depositions at the Courthouse shall be made to my Chambers at

(718) 613-2170. Once a date is confirmed, the notice of deposition shall be served in accordance

with FRCP 30(b).

Before requesting the Court's assistance regarding a discovery dispute, the parties must

make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute with one another. FRCP 26(c); Local Civil Rule

37.3. Under the FRCP, any submission to the Court must first be served on the adversary or the

Court cannot consider it. FRCP 5.

Upon completion of discovery, any party seeking to file a dispositive motion shall file a

pre-motion conference request by June 5, 2020 in accordance with Judge Brodie's Individual

Motion Practices and Rules.

SO ORDERED.

/S/ Judge Lois Bloom

LOIS BLOOM

United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: November 14, 2019

Brooklyn, New York

2